

SEASONAL ABUNDANCE, SPECIES COMPOSITION, FRUIT DAMAGE AND ATTRACTICIDAL CONTROL OF STINK BUGS IN APPLE ORCHARDS OF QUÉBEC, CANADA

Gérald Chouinard, Francine Pelletier, Catherine Pouchet
IRDA, Institut de Recherche et de Développement en Agroenvironnement,
Saint-Bruno-de-Montarville J3V 0G7, Canada

irda INSTITUT DE RECHERCHE
ET DE DÉVELOPPEMENT
EN AGROENVIRONNEMENT



INTRODUCTION

Recent years have shown an increase in stink bug populations and damage within apple orchards of Quebec (Canada) even before the arrival of the brown marmorated stink bug (BMSB) (1).

Few options are currently available in Canada to control stink bugs in fruit crops and the most effective products are also those with the greatest impact on beneficials (2-3).

Objectives:

1) acquire knowledge on the seasonal abundance and species composition of stink bugs in apple orchards of Quebec ;

2) adapt and test an attract-and-kill strategy based on the knowledge acquired.


MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seasonal abundance and species composition (2019-2020)

Trials conducted in 4 apple orchards with 5 replicates in each orchard

Monitoring tools :

- Pyramidal traps (AgBio) baited with specific or multi-species lures (Trécé)
- Beating trays



Multi-species lure in the top of a pyramidal trap

Lures used in traps :

- CSB** : specific lure for Brown Stink Bug, *Euschistus* spp.
- GSB** : specific lure for Green Stink Bug, *Chinavia hilaris*
- BMSB + GSB** : dual lures for BMSB, *H. halys*
- CSB + GSB + BMSB** : multi-species lures for BMSB, Brown and Green Stink bugs
- Control** : unbaited traps

Attract-and-kill strategy (2021):

Trials conducted in 4 apple orchards with two plots (0.7-2.0 ha) within each orchard:

- 1) Attract-and-kill (AK)
- 2) Control (no specific treatment targeting stink bugs)

AK strategy:

- Oversized yellow sticky traps (2.5m high) baited with high dose of attractant (3 x CSB+GSB+BMSB)
- Traps made of 4 double-sided adhesive coated plastic panels (Olson products inc.) arranged perpendicularly and placed over trays filled with soapy water
- Deployed every 30 m at the outside edge of the orchard (5-10 m from peripheral apple trees) from June to September



Pyramidal trap (h=1.2m)



Attract-and-kill trap (h=2.5m)

RESULTS

Table 1 Relative importance (%) of stink bug species captured in the four study orchards according to the monitoring tool.

Species	2019-2020		2021
	Pyramidal traps	Beating trays	AK traps
<i>Euschistus servus euschistoides</i> ^A	91,8	79,6	85,2
<i>Chinavia hilaris</i> ^B	1,8	7,1	12,4
<i>Euschistus tristigmus</i> ^C	4,7	4,2	1,6
<i>Halyomorpha halys</i> ^D	0,6	0	0,2
<i>Brochymena spp</i> ^E	0,1	6,6	0,04
<i>Podisus spp</i> ^F	0,5	2,4	0,4
Other species	0,8	0,5	0,3





Figure 1 Seasonal abundance of native phytophagous stink bugs captured in pheromone-baited pyramidal traps and by beating trays in four apple orchards in 2019-2020.

Table 2 Cumulative mean number \pm SE of stink bugs captured by pyramidal traps baited with different lures in 2019-2020.

Lure	<i>E. servus</i>	<i>E. tristigmus</i>	<i>C. hilaris</i>	<i>H. halys</i>
2019				
CSB+GSB+BMSB	22.7 \pm 3.4 a	0.7 \pm 0.2 a	0.05 \pm 0.1 a	0.2 \pm 0.1 a
CSB	15.7 \pm 2.8 b	0.6 \pm 0.1 a	0.05 \pm 0.0 a	0 a
GSB	2.9 \pm 0.7 c	0.2 \pm 0.1 ab	0.10 \pm 0.1 a	0 b
Control (unbaited)	1.1 \pm 0.2 c	0.0 \pm 0.2 b	0.05 \pm 0.1 a	0 a
2020				
CSB+GSB+BMSB	30.4 \pm 3.4 a	1.0 \pm 0.3 ab	0.9 \pm 0.2 a	0.1 \pm 0.1 ab
CSB	19.0 \pm 2.4 b	1.8 \pm 0.6 a	0.4 \pm 0.2 ab	0 b
GSB+BMSB	7.6 \pm 1.9 c	0.3 \pm 0.1 c	0.6 \pm 0.3 ab	0.3 \pm 0.1 a
Control (unbaited)	2.4 \pm 0.8 c	0.6 \pm 0.3 bc	0.1 \pm 0.1 b	0 b

Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (Kruskal-Wallis, $\alpha = 0,05$)




Figure 2 Percentage \pm SE of fruit damage¹ observed at harvest in AK and control blocks

¹ A portion of stink bug damage observed is minor damage (hatched area) which does not downgrade the fruit.

Table 3 Total number \pm SE of individuals intercepted per AK trap during the season 2021

Species	Total number / trap		Total number / ha
	Adults	Nymphs	
<i>E. servus</i>	890 \pm 124	2,9 \pm 1,0	10 260 \pm 2337
<i>C. hilaris</i>	117 \pm 46	1,2 \pm 0,8	1 205 \pm 488
<i>E. tristigmus</i>	15 \pm 8	0	140 \pm 51
<i>H. halys</i>	2 \pm 0	0	20 \pm 5
<i>Brochymena spp</i>	0,4 \pm 0,3	0	4 \pm 4
<i>Podisus spp</i>	7 \pm 4	0	84 \pm 44
Other species	3 \pm 1	0	33 \pm 4

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank B. Short (Trécé) and M. Charbonneau (Solida) respectively for obtaining the attractants and the sticky plastic tested, as well as M. Larose, Q. Chaperon, A. Arcand, S. Jarquin, P. Léonard-Dufour (technical assistance) and Stefano Caruso (Plant protection service of Modena). We also thank R. Maheux and G. Tremblay and the producers who participated in the project (choice and access to trial plots). This project was carried out with financial assistance from the Innov'Action agroalimentaire program, resulting from the Cultivons l'avenir 2 agreement between the Quebec Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, and Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada.

References

1. Chouinard et al. 2018. Interceptions and captures of *Halyomorpha halys* in Quebec from 2008 to 2018. *Phytoprotection* 98: 46-50.
2. Leskey et al. 2012. Impact of the BMSB in Mid-Atlantic Tree Fruit Orchards in the US: Case Studies of Commercial Management. *Psyche* Vol. 2012.
3. Leskey and Nielsen 2018. Impact of invasive BMSB in North America and Europe : history, biology, ecology and management. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 65 : 599-618.
4. Krawczyk et al. 2019. Alternative methods to manage BMSB. *IOBC-WPRS Bulletin* Vol. 146 : 114-118
5. Morrison et al. 2015. Establishing the behavioral basis for an AK strategy to manage *H. halys* in apple orchards. *J. Pest. Sci.* 89 : 81-96
6. Morrison et al. 2018. Successful management of *H. halys* in commercial apple orchards with an AK strategy. *Pest Management Science* 75(1) : 156
7. Giuseppino et al. 2018. Efficacy of LLIN in killing *H. halys* in pear orchards. *Outlooks on pest management* 29 : 70-74.
8. Suckling et al. 2019. Trapping BMSB : «The Nazgûl» lure and kill nets. *Insects* 10 : 433.

Seasonal abundance and species composition

- A total of 20 different species of stink bugs were identified from sampled sites.
- The brown stink bug, *E. servus euschistoides*, was largely predominant in the 4 sites regardless of the monitoring techniques used (Table 1) and throughout the season (Fig. 1).
- Predatory species, mostly *Podisus maculiventris*, were captured mainly by tree beating and represented about 2.4% of all individuals captured.
- Although monitored numbers peaked in August and September, *E. servus* was present from the start of the season and oviposited in the orchard. (Fig. 1)

Attractiveness of specific and multi-species lures

- Trece's multi-species lures (CSB + GSB + BMSB) caught the highest numbers of species and the highest numbers of *E. servus* and were thus chosen for attract-and-kill trials in 2021 (Table 2).

Attract-and-kill strategy (AK)

- The AK traps captured a large number of stink bugs during the season (equivalent to 10 000 individuals /ha of orchard), almost exclusively adults (Table 3), which were mainly collected in trays under the traps rather than on the sticky surface itself.
- The proportion of fruit injured by stink bugs at harvest was reduced by half in two of the four sites (Fig. 2). Overall, the reduction of damage averaged 25% but did not translate into a statistically significant effect.

CONCLUSION

Adapted from trials carried out in the United States (4-5-6) and in Italy (7-8) targeting the BMSB, the attract-and-kill strategy tested in this project was intended to manage the currently dominant species *E. servus* in addition to other native and exotic species present in Quebec.

Although a statistically significant effect on fruit damage has not been demonstrated in the context of the trials, given the few options currently available the use of AK traps remains an option to be considered as a means of protection against stink bugs (on suitable sites) and/or as a monitoring method.

Evaluation of the proposed strategy over larger areas and of its cumulative effect over several years should be looked at in future studies.

Several measures could also be considered to optimize the method and improve its economic feasibility. For example, installing traps later in the season, when stink bug populations are highest and when damage most frequently evolves towards economic damage, could reduce costs by half.